Case 2:06-cv-01424-CB Document 33 Filed 12/18/09 Page 1 of 3

CHITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN, DISTRICT OF FEWNSYLVANIA

DEC 1 5 2009

FILED

Frederick Binks.)	CLERK U.S. DISTRICT COURT WEST, DIST, OF PENNSYLVANIA
flamhts,)	Civil Achon Nos 06-509
√.)	06-1424
Penald W. Hayword; Esquetal; Atomerk Extrectional Services retail)	Judge Gury L. Loncouster
Atomerk Cerrechard Services , etali	,	Chief Magustate Judge Any Reynold Hoy
Defendum)1.)	megrales hog
)	

Renewed Alation To Vacade PIRA ORDERS; AND Brief in Support of Nation; AND Declaration Fox Full Refund, AND Notice of Associ

Frederick banks. The Plaintiff ("Banks") hereby moves this homerable court to vacake the orders finding that he is bubject to the filing ke under the prison litigation reform Act ("FLICA") and to grant a full refund. In the orders daked thecember of toog the Court staked that "As concerns his request to vacake the order requiring him to fay the filing fee in the first instance, Plaintiff has not provided the Court with symporting authority. It Banks now provides the supporting authority requested by the Court. Ranks curmed afford to pay the fees.

Bonks also hereby neptrés the court and the parties that he agreals the order of court univers on or about the cember 2, 2009 to the bushnet didge of the United States l'about Court for the western vistnit of Fernsylvania.

Brief and Reclaration

I, Frederick fronks berety became under perjuny and state as follows;

1. It previously moved the trust to vacate the PLRA order. The court distributed padatomic authority.

I hereby renew my request providing authority of my exemption from the SLKA fee.

2. I am an interior Indian and the Federal Bream of Friend (ubopa), a government by the personal and thisified me as an American Indian.

3. As an Indian I am exempt from the supplifying the pursuant to 8 USC & 14016) which Shiks "the following Ulail be nationals and citizans of the United States at aborigional trade specials. That the granting of citizanship under this subsection that or other information impair or otherwise affect the right of such person to the state or other frequenty. My rights to my money property are being affaited by the state fee because the Bop has a freeze fencium brance on my inmate encount

Le to the fee In made to pay to this court for the filmy of these cases in violation of Susc & 1401(b) and my Fifth "Americant Right to the process. See Burns v. Firmsylvania Dift of Corrections, 544 F.32 2179 (3x2 cm. 2008) (holding that hen on prisoners occumn) triggers due process from presents).

4. Accordingly, I here met at least one of the three exceptions to the general rule that a stable of general applicability applies to an Indian. Donovan u. Com it Alene Tribel Ferm, 751 F.22 1113, 1116 (4h cr. 1495) (4hig Ferns 624 F.2) at 893-94 . Pranc are three exceptions to this principle. A fedire statute of governe applicability that is Siking on the use of upplicability to Indicated unto not opply to them if ... (8) there is proof mby legislative history or some other means that congress intended the Law I not to apply to Indian ... " In any of these three sitrations, tangress must expressly oping a stable to Indians before we will half that it reaches them .") 28 USC & 1915 Les net mention the word "Indicais." Thus, I am exempt from the shake purhant + gusc & 14:16). See Elk w wilfins, 112 US 94, 100 (1814) (" General Acts of Zongress de not apply to Indians, unkes so expressed as to charry manufest on Internoon to include them. " Eiting Constitution, ort 1, 462,81 Charokee water u. Oto S fet 1; worcestor v. GA, 6 Fet 515; US v. Fogers, 4 Hew 567; US v. Holliday; 3 Wall 407; The Kensus Indian, 5 wal 737; The New York Indian, 5 wall 1761; The Charge Tebrace 1 11 Wall 616; US v. WUKEY 193 US 1889 Fennack v. Comms, 103 US 44; Trew 12095 Case, 109 US 556; Goodell v. Jackson, 20 Johns 693; Hardings in Former. 4 NY. 293. Sec 4130 Lewellyn v. Colonal Trust Co, 20 17 F.21 36,38 (2) cw. 1927)(Eiling Elk and Umki Stoke v. Kickert, 188 US 432); Meandless v. Umki Ljakij 25 F.26 71 (3) Ew. 1928); Luzore v. Commissioner, 11 F32 1180, 1187 (12 cm: 1993).

5. I therefore more the Comp to vacake the order that I am subject to the filmy the because 28 USC to 1915 does not apply to an American Indian for the vections and above and the shall as applied is unconstitutional and in violation of the Fifth thendrant and the other Constitutional from manhaned. The lien on Ranks protoner account diskess him to an added punishment in usuation of the Eighth thendrant and Fipch from almost the provide charge of the pay the fee, he did not agree to love his some occurrent encountered or a lien placed on his inmake account. These testimosh which the contract he signed with the town and are a construction break of that century the fee paid to request a current themseld and receipt from the Check of courrent the fee paid to request a current themseld receipt from the Court should recall the little fee paid to request a current themseld receipt from the Court should recall its

FLEA order and declure 28 Wet 1915 unconstitutional as explicit. Bunks should be used a full refund. The Court should order the Elerk of Sonard the refund to Federal Furam of Frisms, Frederick Bunks & 05711-068, PO BOX YTYTO, DES Momes, IA

(the efect should fut my name and # 05711-068 on the Check and envelope dieth). Finally, the Tourt should order the Egy to immediately 14th the freeze on my immate account and remove the hearten cumbrance.

Respectfully Submitted)

Frederik Banks Frederik Banks DOSMII-068, 182 Po Box Buzz Yazze Cidjinis 39194

PLAIMAFF

certhation service

I hereby early had on dois 8th day of becember 12001 I hered a tree and Zonet Eapy of the foregoing Ex ponte upon the following by muit delivery;

Robert V. Bordinsin, clerk Us District Court P. Box 1805 Fithburgs, PA 15230

Frederick Bonks

AND HOW THIS 18 DAY OF

ORDERED THAT THE WITHIN MOTION IS DENIED.

GARY L. LANCASTER.

CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE